REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE WASHINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Year Ended December 31, 2003



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

105 SEA HERO ROAD, SUITE 2 FRANKFORT, KY 40601-5404 TELEPHONE (502) 573-0050 FACSIMILE (502) 573-0067



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Ernie Fletcher, Governor
Robbie Rudolph, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable John Settles, Washington County Judge/Executive
Honorable Tommy Bartley, Washington County Sheriff
Members of the Washington County Fiscal Court

The enclosed report prepared by Carpenter, Mountjoy & Bressler, PSC, Certified Public Accountants, presents the statement of revenues, expenditures, and excess fees of the County Sheriff of Washington County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2003.

We engaged Carpenter, Mountjoy & Bressler, PSC to perform the audit of this statement. We worked closely with the firm during our report review process; Carpenter, Mountjoy & Bressler, PSC evaluated the Washington County Sheriff's internal controls and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

Enclosure



REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE WASHINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Year Ended December 31, 2003

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE WASHINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Year Ended December 31, 2003

Carpenter, Mountjoy & Bressler, PSC has completed the Washington County Sheriff's audit for the year ended December 31, 2003. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues, expenditures, and excess fees in conformity with the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1.

Financial Condition:

Excess fees decreased by \$1,289 from the prior year, resulting in excess fees of \$4,120 as of December 31, 2003. Revenues decreased by \$10,918 from the prior year and expenditures decreased by \$9,629.

Report Comments:

• The Sheriff Should Require Depository Institutions To Pledge Or Provide Sufficient Collateral To Protect Deposits

Deposits:

The Sheriff's deposits were uninsured and undercollateralized by bank securities or bonds in the amount of \$98,619.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	5
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	9
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL	
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	13



The Honorable John Settles, Washington County Judge/Executive The Honorable Tommy Bartley, Washington County Sheriff Members of the Washington County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying statement of revenues, expenditures, and excess fees regulatory basis of the County Sheriff of Washington County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2003. This financial statement is the responsibility of the County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for County Fee Officials issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the County Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a regulatory basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues, expenditures, and excess fees of the County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2003, in conformity with the regulatory basis of accounting.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated November 10, 2004, on our consideration of the County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

The Honorable John Settles, Washington County Judge/Executive The Honorable Tommy Bartley, Washington County Sheriff Members of the Washington County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

• The Sheriff Should Require Depository Institutions To Pledge Or Provide Sufficient Collateral To Protect Deposits

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the County Sheriff and Fiscal Court of Washington County, Kentucky, and the Commonwealth of Kentucky and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these interested parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Carpenter, Mountjoy & Bressler, PSC

Carpenter, Mountjoy & Bressler, PSC

Audit fieldwork completed - November 10, 2004

WASHINGTON COUNTY TOMMY BARTLEY, COUNTY SHERIFF STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS

For The Year Ended December 31, 2003

Revenues

State - Kentucky Law Enforcement Foundation Program Fund		\$ 14,534
State Fees For Services: Waiting on Court Sheriff Security Service Cabinet For Human Resources	\$ 4,974 11,480 30	16,484
Circuit Court Clerk:		
Fines and Fees Collected		2,828
Fiscal Court		60,936
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes		309
Commission On Taxes Collected		103,315
Fees Collected For Services: Auto Inspections	\$ 1,975	
Accident and Police Reports	129	
Serving Papers	7,120	
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits	1,500	10,724
Other:		
Dog Tags	\$ 183	
Add-On Fees	10,884	
Advertising Fees	859	
Reimbursements	4,386	
Pictures and Copies	73	
Miscellaneous	 282	16,667
Interest Earned		664
Borrowed Money:		
State Advancement		 55,000
Total Revenues		\$ 281,461

WASHINGTON COUNTY TOMMY BARTLEY, COUNTY SHERIFF STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS For The Year Ended December 31, 2003 (Continued)

Expenditures

Operating Expenditures and Capital Outlay:				
Personnel Services-				
Deputies' Salaries	\$	101,616		
Overtime		12,400		
Employee Benefits-				
Employer's Share Social Security		12,595		
Employer's Share Retirement		2,134		
Materials and Supplies-				
Office Materials and Supplies		338		
Uniforms		42		
Auto Expense-				
Gasoline		14,198		
Maintenance and Repairs		46		
Other Charges-				
Dog Tags		157		
Dues		300		
Postage		2,781		
CCDW		1,040		
Training		3,012		
Transporting Prisoners		436		
Bank Charges		51		
Miscellaneous		1,545		
Debt Service:				
State Advancement		55,000		
Interest		2,861		
Total Expenditures			\$	210,552
Net Revenues			\$	70,000
	\$	63,753	Ф	70,909
Less: Statutory Maximum Training Frings Page 5t (HP810)	Ф			66 790
Training Fringe Benefit (HB810)		3,036		66,789
Excess Fees Due County for 2004			\$	4,120
Payments to Fiscal Court - May 6, 2004				4,120
Balance Due Fiscal Court at Completion of Audit			\$	0
Damine Due I iscai Court at Completion of Audit			Ψ	<u> </u>

WASHINGTON COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2003

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of revenues over expenditures to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

B. Basis of Accounting

Kentucky Revised Statute (KRS) 64.820 directs the fiscal court to collect any amount, including excess fees, due from the County Sheriff as determined by the audit. KRS 134.310 requires the County Sheriff to settle excess fees with the fiscal court at the time he files his final settlement with the fiscal court.

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Under this regulatory basis of accounting revenues and expenditures are generally recognized when cash is received or disbursed with the exception of accrual of the following items (not all-inclusive) at December 31 that may be included in the excess fees calculation:

- Interest receivable
- Collection on accounts due from others for 2003 services
- Reimbursements for 2003 activities
- Tax commissions due from December tax collections
- Payments due other governmental entities for payroll
- Payments due vendors for goods or services provided in 2003

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the County Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

WASHINGTON COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2003 (Continued)

Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems.

This is a multiple-employer public retirement system that covers all eligible full-time employees. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 6.34 percent for the first six months of the year and 7.34 percent for the last six months of the year. Hazardous covered employees are required to contribute 8.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for hazardous employees was 16.28 percent for the first six months of the year and 18.51 percent for the last six months of the year.

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Aspects of benefits for hazardous employees include retirement after 20 years of service or age 55.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is presented in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record.

Note 3. Deposits

In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. The Sheriff entered into a written agreement with the depository institution and met requirements (a), (b), and (c) stated above. However, as of October 31, 2003, the collateral and FDIC insurance together did not equal or exceed the amount on deposit, leaving \$98,619 of public funds uninsured and unsecured.

Note 4. Drug Seizure Fund

During calendar year 2001, the Washington County Sheriff's office opened and maintained a Drug Seizure Fund. Receipts for this fund are from court ordered payments related to drug cases. These funds are reserved for items directly related to law enforcement and are not available for excess fee purposes. There was a beginning balance of \$9,556 on January 1, 2003. Receipts of this fund for 2003 were \$2,496; disbursements totaled \$3,985; leaving a balance of \$8,067 as of December 31, 2003. Items expended were directly related to law enforcement in compliance with KRS Chapter 218A.



WASHINGTON COUNTY TOMMY BARTLEY, COUNTY SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Year Ended December 31, 2003

STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

The Sheriff Should Require Depository Institutions To Pledge Or Provide Sufficient Collateral To Protect Deposits

On October 31, 2003 \$98,619 of the Sheriff's deposits of public funds in depository institutions were uninsured and unsecured. According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. We recommend that the Sheriff require the depository institution to pledge or provide collateral in an amount sufficient to secure deposits of public funds at all times.

Sheriff's Response:

Pledge of securities was signed with State Bank and the amount in the agreement was not pledged. [Bookkeeper] will watch this more closely in the future.

PRIOR YEAR:

The Sheriff Should Require Depository Institutions To Pledge Or Provide Sufficient Collateral To Protect Deposits As Well As Provide A Written Agreement

This has not been corrected and is repeated.

Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

This has been corrected.



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



The Honorable John Settles, Washington County Judge/Executive The Honorable Tommy Bartley, Washington County Sheriff Members of the Washington County Fiscal Court

> Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the statement of revenues, expenditures, and excess fees - regulatory basis of the Washington County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2003, and have issued our report thereon dated November 10, 2004. This was a special report on the County Sheriff's financial statement prepared in accordance with a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Washington County Sheriff's financial statement for the year ended December 31, 2003, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and which is described in the accompanying comment and recommendation.

• The Sheriff Should Require Depository Institutions To Pledge Or Provide Sufficient Collateral To Protect Deposits

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Washington County Sheriff internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Carpenter, Mountjoy & Bressler, PSC

Audit fieldwork completed -November 10, 2004